

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/745,590

Applicant(s)

WALLIN ET AL.

Examiner

M. Alexandra Elve

Art Unit

1725

## All Participants:

(1) M. Alexandra Elve.

(2) Kevin Nilsen.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) -

(4) -

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004

Time: 9:30

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: this file contained two specifications, two sets of claims and two oaths. Applicant's attorney stated that the correct specification and claims were directed to catalytic devices and the method of making. The specification and claims drawn to mullite bodies and the forming of were to be withdrawn according to the attorney..